





# Knowledge co-creation for sustainability (after Mauser et al. 2013)









# Purpose of the session

- To increase mutual understanding of different perspectives re. knowlege cocreation
- To share best practices, challenges and solutions
- To discuss if and how knowledge cocreation can make a difference for food security and policies.







# **Survey** among ARF and GCP project leaders

n=37

25 Applied Research Fund (ARF) 9 Global Challenges Programme (GCP) 3 both GDP and ARF



# **Involving actors**

Type the footer here

- Joint agenda setting, including farmers as well as the private sector as co-creators of knowledge, is key to effective and relevant knowledge co-creation.
- Mismatches in knowledge, backgrounds and 'languages' need to be identified and bridged, for which face-to-face interactions are essential.



# **Involving actors**

- The private sector can play a catalysing role in knowledge cocreation by bringing in expertise on quality standards, stimulating innovation, providing training in entrepreneurship and marketing, and knowledge of markets.
- Innovations resulting from knowledge co-creation require prior thinking about ownership of new knowledge (patents and copyrights).



University of Amsterdam

# Influencing policy making

- Knowledge co-creation processes have impacted policies in various partner countries (e.g. plantation development policy in Ghana, sesame quality control policies in Uganda) by actively engaging policymakers in knowledge co-creation in multi-stakeholder platforms or engaging researchers in policymaking processes.
- Challenges to research uptake by policymakers in both the Netherlands and partner countries are policy changes after elections and frequent personnel turnover.

Type the footer here

Type the footer here



### The way of doing research:

- Knowledge exchange and co-creation in multi-stakeholder • platforms enhances research-policy linkages and evidencebased policymaking by facilitating the sharing of researchers' expertise and insights in an early stage.
- The time lag between knowledge needs of policymakers and practitioners and results of research produced by researchers can be bridged by regular communication and through accessible products like info sheets.





# Thank you!

- Mirjam Ros-Tonen m.a.f.ros-tonen@uva.nl
- Edith van Ewijk
  e.vanewijk@uva.nl

University of Amsterdam

Type the footer here

16



# World café sessions Type the footer here



UNIVERSITY OF AMSTERDAM

## The three key statements:

- Knowledge co-creation in multi-stakeholder processes for food security creates opportunities for evidence-based policymaking in the South, but for policymakers in the Netherlands research results usually come too late to be relevant.
- Knowledge co-creation is too time-consuming and complex to solve a wicked problem like food insecurity.
- Research driven by questions from policy or practice is societally more relevant, but compromises scientific freedom and rigour needed to create new knowledge or knowledge for transformational change.



### Key questions for the FIRST discussion round:

- Do you agree with the statement? Why (not)? (Use examples or counter-examples from your own experience with knowledge co-creation)
- How could your sector contribute to solving the dilemma worded in the statement?
- How do you think other sectors can contribute to solving the dilemma?

